

## MEASURING INSTRUMENT FOR WALKING STUDENTS

School District: \_\_\_\_\_ School: \_\_\_\_\_

Location of Area Rated: \_\_\_\_\_

Date Rated: \_\_\_\_\_

Rate the following by putting a circle around the appropriate number. The higher the number, the more hazardous the walking route.												Numeric Score		
<b>Vehicular:</b>														
1. Average hourly traffic during school arrival & departure both morning & afternoon.	Over 1000	1000-901	900-801	800-701	700-601	600-501	500-401	400-301	300-201	200-101	100-0			
	<b>10</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>			
	A.M.													
	P.M.													
2. Truck traffic during school arrival & departure both morning & afternoon.	Over 100		100-75		75-51		50-25		25-1		0			
	<b>5</b>		<b>4</b>		<b>3</b>		<b>2</b>		<b>1</b>		<b>0</b>			
	A.M.													
	P.M.													
3. Posted traffic speed	55 mph	50 mph	45 mph	40 mph	35 mph	30 mph	25 mph	20 mph						
	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>						
<b>Pedestrian:</b>														
1. Number of pupils	Over 225	225-201	200-176	175-151	150-126	125-101	100-76	75-51	50-26	25-1	0			
	<b>10</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>			
2. Grade level	Elementary			Middle School/Junior High				High School						
	<b>10</b>			<b>2</b>				<b>0</b>						
<b>Roadway:</b>														
1. Width of road	Multi-lane divided highway, raised or painted median		Multi-lane (two-way) or Single-lane w/left turn lane		Two-lane Two-way No-passing		Two-lane Two-way Passing		Two-way, no street markings					
Crossing	<b>10</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>			
Exposure time walking along (in minutes)	> 30	27-30	24-27	21-24	18-21	15-18	12-15	9-12	6-9	3-6	0-3			
	<b>10</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>			
2. Shoulder or sidewalk "Narrow" – under 3 feet "Wide – 3-8 feet	No shoulder or sidewalk		Narrow, unpaved shoulder, no sidewalk		Narrow, paved shoulder, no sidewalk		Wide, unpaved shoulder, no sidewalk		Wide, paved shoulder, no sidewalk		Sidewalk all way with no breaks		Sidewalk all way with no breaks, shoulder or utility strip	
	<b>10</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-10</b>	
3. Accumulative walking area with no sidewalk	100%	90%	80%	70%	60%	50%	40%	30%	20%	10%	< 10%			
	<b>10</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>			
4. Traffic control signs & markings (score as many as applicable)	No school signs		No pavement markings		No traffic signals		No traffic lights – Elementary Only		No crossing guard – Elementary Only					
	<b>2</b>		<b>2</b>		<b>2</b>		<b>2</b>		<b>2</b>					
<b>Environmental: (over)</b>														

## MEASURING INSTRUMENT FOR WALKING STUDENTS

<b>Environmental:</b> (continued)											
1. Visual obstructions (trees, shrubs, hills, curves, buildings, etc.)	Comments:										
	Accumulative Exposure - % of Walking Route										
	100% <b>10</b>	90% <b>9</b>	80% <b>8</b>	70% <b>7</b>	60% <b>6</b>	50% <b>5</b>	40% <b>4</b>	30% <b>3</b>	20% <b>2</b>	10% <b>1</b>	<10% <b>0</b>
2. Cross traffic pupil's direction of travel (streets & driveways other than single family home)	Comments:										
	Accumulative Exposure - % of Walking Route										
	100% <b>10</b>	90% <b>9</b>	80% <b>8</b>	70% <b>7</b>	60% <b>6</b>	50% <b>5</b>	40% <b>4</b>	30% <b>3</b>	20% <b>2</b>	10% <b>1</b>	<10% <b>0</b>
3. Special conditions (extraordinary factors, fences, open waterway, history of crime, etc.)	Comments:										
	Accumulative Exposure - % of Walking Route										
	100% <b>10</b>	90% <b>9</b>	80% <b>8</b>	70% <b>7</b>	60% <b>6</b>	50% <b>5</b>	40% <b>4</b>	30% <b>3</b>	20% <b>2</b>	10% <b>1</b>	<10% <b>0</b>
<b>Accident Rate:</b>											
Accident rate (vehicle & pedestrian)	2 x Average for Facility		1.6 x Average for Facility		Average for Facility		.75 Average for Facility		.5 Average for Facility		
	<b>10</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	
<b>TOTAL RATING:</b>											
Contacted Agency or Individual Responsible for Making Improvements	Agency or Individual					Contact Person W/Phone Number					
	State Highway District										
	County Roads										
	City Streets										
	Canal Company										
	Developer										
	Property Owner										
Other:											
<b>Comments:</b>											

Evaluator \_\_\_\_\_

Date Evaluated \_\_\_\_\_

## **GUIDELINES FOR TRANSPORTING STUDENTS LESS THAN THE MINIMUM DISTANCE (1½ MILES)**

The “Rating Sheet for Walking Students” and “Safety Busing Request Forms” may be downloaded through the SDE Web site at [www.sde.state.id.us/finance/transport/](http://www.sde.state.id.us/finance/transport/) Idaho Code 33-1501 states: “Primary requirements . . . are the safety and adequate protection of the health of the pupils.” Idaho Code 33-1006 further states: “(2) transporting pupils less than one and one-half (1½) miles as provided in Section 33-1501, Idaho Code, when approved by the State Board of Education.” The following guidelines are suggested when reviewing and evaluating “Safety Busing” applications. New safety busing sites shall be reviewed with this guideline, and all safety busing sites shall be re-evaluated at intervals of at least every three years.

1. Width of the shoulder of the road. Children should not be expected to walk upon the traffic lanes of a highway. The speed, number and type of vehicles traveling any of the roadways would indicate that traffic lanes are unsafe for pedestrians. Shoulder width on each side of the roadway should be at least three (3) feet and should be maintained free of snow and other obstructions.
2. Traffic count. There are usually more vehicles using main highways than using secondary roads. However, a traffic count can be misleading because of variations at different times of the day. Motorists hurrying to and from work during rush hours which coincide with school hours present a hazard to children. Traffic counts should be taken during times students would be required to travel the area in question.
3. Lack of crossing guards. Some districts provide school crossing guards at busy intersections; others provide no extra protection for youthful pedestrians.
4. Lack of law enforcement. Posted speed limits are often ignored unless adequate enforcement is provided. This can be hazardous to school children.
5. Ages of children. While certain conditions present a degree of hazard to people of all ages, older students can be expected to accept more responsibility and exercise better judgment than younger students.
6. Railroad crossings. Moving trains as well as trains stopped at crossings present hazards to young peoples on their way to school.
7. Nature of traffic. A concentration of heavy truck traffic increases the hazards of any road. Areas near large manufacturing plants or office buildings experience heavy traffic when work shifts change.
8. Inadequate pedestrian safeguards near school areas. Shortly before the start and close of the school day large numbers of vehicles converge on the school presenting extra hazards to children who must walk.
9. Temporary hazards. Construction projects, street repairs, excavations, and similar projects present additional problems and temptations to children walking to and from school.
10. Child molesters. Where children must walk through parks and other secluded areas, child molesters may present a hazard. However, this category is difficult, if not impossible to assess.
11. Inadequate protection around waterways. Where children must walk along or across ditches, creeks, rivers, etc. without adequate protection, hazardous situations and temptations to

children are present, causing unsafe conditions. Length of required exposure should be factored into assessment. Walking past versus walking along open waterways present very different hazards.

12. School district administrators shall develop an objective measuring instrument, which contains a scoring element for assessing hazards encountered by students while walking from home to school routes. Districts may use the sample measuring instrument provided by SDE, a sample of which available through their Web site. School districts shall re-evaluate all safety busing sites within district boundaries at intervals of at least every three years.
13. SDE recommends school district board of trustees annually approve the formation of an ad hoc supplemental transportation committee for the purpose of objectively evaluating all hazardous routes under 1.5 miles from the students' home to school, using a board approved measuring instrument. It is further recommended that the ad hoc supplemental committee be made up of transportation professionals and student advocate representatives, i.e., city, county, state traffic engineers, law enforcement professionals, district pupil transportation supervisory personnel, district or other safety professionals, district and/or region PTA representatives, etc. SDE further recommends that the chairman of the ad hoc supplemental transportation committee report its recommendations to the local board of trustees at a timely scheduled public school board meeting.
14. SDE recommends that school district administrators solicit route evaluation requests from all interested patrons via school administrators.
15. SDE recommends that the objective measuring instrument and the related scoring element be used in determining an appropriate "cut off" for safety busing purposes when the scoring element used indicates hazards that are "reasonable" for students to encounter during their walk to and from school. The philosophy for this recommendation is based on the assumption that all students must encounter "some hazards" during the course of their travel from home to school. The intent of the objective measuring instrument is to prioritize and/or weight hazards.
16. SDE recommends that each local school district evaluate and implement a pedestrian safety program. This program should teach students of all ages how to deal with hazards to use existing pedestrian facilities, and follow safe walking practices. This should be a continuing program with positive reinforcement throughout the school year.
17. SDE encourages each school district and local governmental agency to upgrade their school zones as changing hazards suggest and to employ crossing guards, install signing, and upgrade pavement markings where appropriate.
18. SDE recommends that each school district create or update a school route plan to provide for an orderly review of the school area traffic control needs. The plan should consist of a simple map showing streets accessing the school, existing traffic controls, established school routes, and crossings. The number of school crossings should be limited to the fewest possible required to safely accommodate the demand.